

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,447	02/13/2004	Chandra Vargeese	MBHB02-312-G (600.041)	2130
20306	7590 09/14/2006		EXAMINER	
	ELL BOEHNEN HUI EKER DRIVE	OLSON, ERIC		
32ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606			1623	
			DATE MAILED, 00/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
		10/780,447	VARGEESE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Eric S. Olson	1623				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_			
Period fo	r Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•					
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	••				

Art Unit: 1623

Detailed Action

This application is a continuation in part of 10/427160, filed April 30, 2003, which is a continuation-in-part of PCT/US02/15876, filed May 17, 2002, which claims benefit of provisional applications: 60/292217, filed May 18, 2001, 60/306883, filed July 20, 2001, 60/311865, filed August 13, 2001, and 60/362016, filed March 6, 2002. Application 10/427160 is also a continuation-in-part of PCT/US03/05346, filed February 20, 2003, which claims benefit of the following provisional applications: 60/358580, filed February 20, 2002, 60/363124, filed March 11, 2002, 60/386782, filed June 6, 2002, 60/406784, filed August 29, 2002, 60/408378, filed September 5, 2002, 60/409293, filed September 9, 2002, and 60/440129, filed January 15, 2003. Claims 1-21 are pending in this application and subject to restriction herein.

Restriction/Election

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 7-9, 12-14, and 17-19, drawn to a compound of formula 107, classified in class 552, subclass 506, for example.
- II. Claims 5-6, 10-11, 15-16, and 20-21, drawn to a compound of formula 119, classified in class 536, subclass 17.9, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the

Art Unit: 1623

inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed possess different and unrelated functional groups. The cholesterol-containing compounds of group I possess a different core structure from the carbohydrate derivatives of group II. When applied to a biological system, these two classes of compounds are expected to behave differently and to exert different biological effects. For example, cholesterol is a highly lipophilic compound which would be effective at anchoring the molecule to a lipid bilayer, while carbohydrates are hydrophilic moieties which may interact with a variety of physiological receptors or other proteins. Therefore, the linked nucleic acids of groups I and II are expected to possess differing biological properties when administered *in vivo*. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Stephen H. Docter on September 6, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,447

Art Unit: 1623

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/780,447

Art Unit: 1623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric S. Olson whose telephone number is 571-272-9051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Anna Jiang can be reached on (571)272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric Olson

Patent Examiner

AU 1623 9/6/06 Anna Jiang.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 5

AU 1623